Quantum computation and simulation with neutral atoms

Arina Tashchilina, postdoctoral fellow at University of Alberta

Edmonton, April 2024

Quantum computing



Quantum simulation

Which system requires a quantum simulator?

- A quantum system
- Cannot be measured
- The laws describing are unknown
- Large number of equations



Agenda

1. Basics of quantum computation

- define qubits and basic operations
- neutral atom single-qubit gates

2. Experiment: universal single-qutrit gate

- how to expand the space and why?
- specific realization and results

3. Experiment: holonomic quantum computation

- an alternative quantum computation approach
- experimental realization and results

4. Quantum computing for lattice gauge theory

- Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian
- quantum computing algorithm

Part 1

1. Basics of quantum computation

- define qubits and basic operations
- neutral atom single-qubit gates

2. Universal qutrit gate

- how to expand the space and why?
- specific realization and results

3. Holonomic quantum computation

- an alternative quantum computation approach
- experimental realization and results
- 4. Quantum computing for lattice gauge theory
 - Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian
 - quantum computing algorithm

Qubit

- Classical bits has two available states On/Off
- Quantum bits (qubits) could be in the superposition states

$$|\psi
angle = lpha |0
angle + e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}eta |1
angle$$



- Unit sphere
- Main axis of a Bloch sphere are eigenvectors of Pauli matrices



Manipulating the qubit

- We need a unitary operator to induce rotation of our qubit
- Pauli matrices are generators of unitary rotations on a sphere!

Manipulating the qubit

• For example, rotation happens around *y* axis by '*t*' angle

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{\sigma}_y t/2} = egin{pmatrix} \cos(t/2) & -\sin(t/2) \ \sin(t/2) & \cos(t/2) \end{pmatrix}$$



Arbitrary rotation around arbitrary axis

• We can find an arbitrary axis around which we need to rotate

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}tec{n}\cdotec{\sigma}/2} = \cos{\left(rac{t}{2}
ight)}\hat{I} - \mathrm{i}\sin{\left(rac{t}{2}
ight)}ec{n}\cdotec{\sigma}$$



• We can find an arbitrary axis around which we need to rotate

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}t\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}/2} = \cos\left(rac{t}{2}
ight)\hat{I} - \mathrm{i}\sin\left(rac{t}{2}
ight)\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}$$

 Any unitary transformation could be decomposed from these generic rotation as an example

$$\hat{U} = e^{i\alpha} R_z(\beta) R_y(\gamma) R_z(\delta)$$



In review

 We know what is a qubit and how to rotate it if we have Pauli matrices handy.

- Choose magnetic sublevels
- Couple them the way you want
 - within a single *F* manifold
 - through a microwave field coupling different *F*
 - optically coupling through a third level



• Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = rac{\omega_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \sigma_z + \Omega \cdot \sigma_x \cos(\omega_{\mathrm{f}} t + \phi)$$

 Evolution is described by superposition of Pauli matrices

$$\hat{U} = \hat{I}\cos(\chi) + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sin(\chi)}{\Omega_{\mathrm{eff}}} \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{|\Omega|}{2}\left(\sigma_x\cos\phi - \sigma_y\sin\phi\right)\right)$$
$$\Omega_{\mathrm{eff}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{|\Omega|^2}{4}} \text{ and } \chi = t\Omega_{\mathrm{eff}}$$



Field defines a gate

- Rabi frequency dictates how fast qubit rotates
- Phase of the field selects along which longitude qubit rotates

$$\hat{U} = egin{pmatrix} \cos(\Omega t/2) & \mathrm{i} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \sin(\Omega t/2) \ \mathrm{i} e^{-\mathrm{i} \phi} \sin(\Omega t/2) & \cos(\Omega t/2) \end{pmatrix}$$



In review

 how to prepare and manipulate a qubit in a two-level atom

Questions?

1. Basics of quantum computation

- define qubits and basic operations
- neutral atom single-qubit gates

2. Universal qutrit gate

- how to expand the space and why?
- specific realization and results
- 3. Holonomic quantum computation
 - an alternative quantum computation approach
 - experimental realization and results
- 4. Quantum computing for lattice gauge theory
 - Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian
 - quantum computing algorithm

What is a qudit?

Qudit is a superposition of d levels

$$|\alpha\rangle = \alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle + \alpha_2|2\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}|d-1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^d$$

Qudit benefits

- A larger state space to store and process information
- An ability to do multiple control operations simultaneously
- Reduction of the circuit complexity

Qutrit and SU(3)

- Qutrit is more quantum then qubit
- Described by eight Gell-Mann matrices
- Strong interactions are described by the same algebra
- Can we relate it to physics which happens inside of nucleus?



'Choosing' qutrit

- We choose three levels
- Two couplings are freely available through microwave transitions
- How to create the third coupling between | 0) and | 2)?



We can represent it differently

• through SU(2)

 $\hat{U}_{\text{gen.}}^{\text{I}} = \hat{U}_{\theta}(\eta, \epsilon) \hat{U}_{\text{B}}(\tau_{\text{B2}}, \varphi_{\text{B2}}) \hat{U}_{\text{A}}(\tau_{\text{A1}}, \varphi_{\text{A1}}) \hat{U}_{\text{B}}(\tau_{\text{B1}}, \varphi_{\text{B1}}),$

• through a simultaneous coupling

 $\hat{U}_{\text{gen.}}^{\text{II}} = \hat{U}_{\theta}(\eta, \epsilon) \hat{U}_{\text{B}}(\tau_{\text{B2}}, \varphi_{\text{B2}}) \hat{U}_{\text{A}}(\tau_{\text{A1}}, \varphi_{\text{A1}}) \hat{U}_{\text{AB}}(\alpha, \beta)$



Experimental sequence



State tomography

- We prepare a Walsch-Hadamard gate
- We use eight RF pulses for the state tomography
- We reconstruct the full density matrix via maximum likelihood
- We find fidelity to be 90 95%



In review

 How to create a universal set of single-qutrit gates

Questions?

1. Basics of quantum computation

- define qubits and basic operations
- neutral atom single-qubit gates
- 2. Universal qutrit gate
 - how to expand the space and why?
 - specific realization and results

3. Holonomic quantum computation

- an alternative quantum computation approach
- experimental realization and results
- 4. Quantum computing for lattice gauge theory
 - Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian
 - quantum computing algorithm

Geometric phase

- Vector travelling in a curved space obtains a phase even after returning to an initial state
- Classic but quantum example: Aharonov-Bohm effect



Dynamical vs geometric gates

- Before we were switching on Hamiltonian for some time
- Now we vary Hamiltonian in time adiabatically, but we start and end in the same state



geometric gate

- Loop deformations would not change a gate if the loop contains the same flux
- Noise resilience



Universality

- Abelian phase: corresponds to just getting a phase factor - geometric phase
- Non-abelian phase: if we have multiple degenerate levels, we can land into a superposition of multiple levels.





Floquet engineering

• Let's modulate Hamiltonian at a fast frequency $\hat{H} = \Omega_0 \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{F}} \cos \omega t$

slow changing parameters

 If we look into the 0th Floquet band, we have a handful of degenerate levels



In review

- Geometric phase
- Holonomic gates with abelian and non-abelian phases
- Floquet engineering to create degeneracies

- Spin in a magnetic field with fast
 modulation
 modulation
 - $\hat{H} = \Omega_0 \, \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{F}} \cos \omega t$
- Periodically modulate the phase, amplitude, and central frequency of the driving field



Example of modulation



Logan W. Cooke, Arina Tashchilina, et al. Phys. Rev. Research 6, 013057 (2024) **Computing gates**

Different loops results in different

evolution operators, examples

Loops	$\Theta(t)$	$\Phi(t)$	$\hat{\Gamma}_{A}\left(\ell ight)$
ℓ_1	Ωt	0	$\exp\left(-i2\pi g\hat{F}_y/\hbar\right)$
ℓ_2	Ωt	$\pi/2$	$\exp\left(i2\pi g\hat{F}_x/\hbar\right)$
ℓ_3	$\pi/2$	Ωt	$\exp\left(-i2\pi g\hat{F}_z/\hbar\right)$
ℓ_4	Ωt	$\pi/4$	$\exp\left[i\sqrt{2\pi g}\left(\hat{F}_{x}-\hat{F}_{y}\right)/\hbar\right]$
ℓ_5	$\pi/4$	Ωt	-
ℓ_6	Ωt	Ωt	-



Logan W. Cooke, Arina Tashchilina, et al. Phys. Rev. Research 6, 013057 (2024)

- We prepare cold atomic cloud and apply modulated field
- Tomography of the final state
- We obtain different gates at the end of the loop



Logan W. Cooke, Arina Tashchilina, et al. Phys. Rev. Research 6, 013057 (2024) In review

 How to create a universal set of single-qubit holonomic set

Questions?

1. Basics of quantum computation

- define qubits and basic operations
- neutral atom single-qubit gates
- 2. Universal qutrit gate
 - how to expand the space and why?
 - specific realization and results
- 3. Holonomic quantum computation
 - an alternative quantum computation approach
 - experimental realization and results

4. Quantum computing for lattice gauge theory

- Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian
- quantum computing algorithm

Connection to quantum simulation

- Lattice-gauge theories
- Artificial fields
- Dynamical fields
- Meth, Michael, et al. "Simulating 2D lattice gauge theories on a qudit quantum computer." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12110* (2023).
- González-Cuadra, Daniel, et al. "Hardware efficient quantum simulation of non-abelian gauge theories with qudits on Rydberg platforms." *Physical Review Letters* 129.16 (2022): 160501.
- Ohler, Simon, et al. "Self-generated quantum gauge fields in arrays of Rydberg atoms." *New Journal of Physics* 24.2 (2022): 023017.



- discretization of space and time
- representing matter and fields on a two-dimensional lattice
- staggered matter description

$$\hat{H} = g^2 \hat{H}_E + \frac{1}{g^2} \hat{H}_B + m \hat{H}_m + \Omega \hat{H}_k,$$



- even lattice sites- particles (black)
- odd lattice sites anti-particles (white)

- bosonic field has infinite but discrete spectrum
- to describe electric field we need electric field operator and lowering operator

$$\hat{E}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \left| E_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \right\rangle = E_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \left| E_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \right\rangle$$
$$\hat{U}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \left| E_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \right\rangle = \left| E_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} - 1 \right\rangle$$



Gauge field energy

 magnetic energy is calculated through a loop over a plaquette loop

$$\hat{P}_{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{U}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_x} \hat{U}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_x,\mathbf{e}_y} \hat{U}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_y,\mathbf{e}_x}^{\dagger} \hat{U}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_y}^{\dagger}.$$

• energy contained in gauge fields

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_E &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \left(\hat{E}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_x}^2 + \hat{E}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_y}^2 \right), \\ \hat{H}_B &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \left(\hat{P}_{\mathbf{n}} + \hat{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \right), \end{split}$$



Mass term

• fermionic matter operators

$$\hat{H}_m = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} (-1)^{n_x + n_y} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}},$$

Kinetic term

- kinetic term which corresponds to interaction between field and matter
- responsible for particle-antiparticle creation

$$\hat{H}_{k} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{\mu=x,y} \left(\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{U}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{\dagger} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} = & g^2 \hat{H}_E + \frac{1}{g^2} \hat{H}_B + m \hat{H}_m + \Omega \hat{H}_k, \\ \text{electric} \\ & \text{magnetic} \\ & \text{mass} \\ & \text{kinetic terms} \end{split}$$

J. Kogut and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 11, 395 (1975).

Matter subsystem in terms of 'qubits'

 Jordan-Wigner transformation for matter

$$\hat{\phi}_i = \prod_{j < i} (-\hat{\sigma}_j^z) \hat{\sigma}_i^-, \quad \hat{\phi}_i^\dagger = \prod_{j < i} (-\hat{\sigma}_j^z) \hat{\sigma}_i^+$$

- additional phase is introduced to ensure fermionic anti-commutation relations
- this phase is nonlocal, all modes prior (j<i) play a role

P. Jordan and E. P. Wigner, Über das paulische äquivalenzverbot, Z. Phys. 47, 631 (1928)



The phase is determined by the number of occupied fermionic modes in modes. The phase is +1 if the number of occupied modes is even -1 if the number of occupied modes is odd. Gauge fields in terms of 'quDits'

- truncated ladder
- qudits are natural solutions (*)
- qubits can be used as well
- two operators that we care about

$$\hat{E} \mapsto \hat{S}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2l} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \hat{\sigma}_{j}^{z},$$
$$\hat{U} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{2l} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-} \hat{\sigma}_{i+1}^{+},$$

(*) Meth, Michael, et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12110 (2023).



Hamiltonian in terms of Pauli matrices

- 1–4 fermionic modes of a single plaquette
- 5–7 bosonic modes



$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{E} &= \frac{g^{2}}{4} \Big\{ \hat{\sigma}_{5}^{z} \left[\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{z} - \hat{\sigma}_{3}^{z} + \hat{\sigma}_{6}^{z} (\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{z} - \hat{\sigma}_{3}^{z} - 2) - 1 \right] \\ &+ \hat{\sigma}_{2}^{z} \left[\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{z} + 2 \hat{\sigma}_{5}^{z} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{6}^{z} + 1 \right) - 1 \right] + 4 \hat{\sigma}_{6}^{z} \Big\}, \\ \hat{H}_{B} &= -\frac{1}{2g^{2}} \left[\hat{\sigma}_{6}^{+} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{5}^{-} + \hat{\sigma}_{7}^{-} \right) + \hat{\sigma}_{6}^{-} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{5}^{+} + \hat{\sigma}_{7}^{+} \right) \right], \\ \hat{H}_{m} &= \frac{m}{2} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{z} - \hat{\sigma}_{2}^{z} + \hat{\sigma}_{3}^{z} - \hat{\sigma}_{4}^{z} \right), \\ \hat{H}_{kin} &= -i\Omega \Big[\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{+} \hat{\sigma}_{2}^{-} + \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{+} \hat{\sigma}_{4}^{-} + \hat{\sigma}_{4}^{-} \hat{\sigma}_{3}^{+} \\ &- \hat{\sigma}_{2}^{-} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{5}^{+} \hat{\sigma}_{6}^{-} + \hat{\sigma}_{6}^{+} \hat{\sigma}_{7}^{-} \right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}^{+} \Big] + \text{H.c.} \end{split}$$



- initialize vacuum |vvvv>|0>
- bring an excitation into the system



• prepare any possible eigenstate within matter and field subsystems(matter and field) $\theta_1 - \theta_6$



• particle-antiparticle pairs should affect field through controlled iSWAP gates $\theta_7 - \theta_{10}$





• final iSWAP gates are applied on the matter qubits again to adjust the state after entangling

the two subsystems



• a layer of single-qubit z rotations is utilized to correct for relative phases.

Summary

Summary

- How to create prepare, manipulate and measure a qubit state?
- How to generalize it onto higher Hilbert spaces?
- How to create a non-Abelian gate in non-degenerate system?
- How to use quantum computer for LGT?

Our team!

In these two works participated:

- Joey Lindon
- Logan Cooke
- Mason Protter
- Tian Ooi
- under Lindsay Leblanc supervision







arinainphysics.com

Arina in Physics

Home

My Work

For Students

Study Optical Elements

Study Atomic Cooling

Study Advanced Optical Elements

Study Atomic Spetroscopy

For Labs

Tag Cloud

Contact Now →

My physics journey

Hello

O A https://arinainphysics.com

My name is **Arina Tashchilina**, and I work with degenerate gases to study fundamental interactions. I am curious to ask and answer questions about gravity, high-energy physics, and artificial gauge fields through tabletop experiments. I am currently working as a <u>Post Doctorate Fellow</u> at the <u>University of Alberta UltraCold</u> Lab with <u>Professor Lindsay Leblanc</u>.

Lab Experience

I am proud to have worked with brilliant research teams under supervision of prof. Lindsay LeBlanc, prof. Barry Sanders, prof. Sergey Moiseev, prof. Aleksey Zheltikov, and prof. Alexander Lvovsky.











⊠ 🗵 🔤

☆









